

# Evaluation group rating sheet

**Stage of rating:**

**Priority area:**

**Rating sheet completed by:**

**Proposal submitted by:**

**Project title:**

**Proposed project length:** ☒ 2 years ☐ 3 years ☐ 4 years

**This project clearly lends itself to an ECML, rather than a national/local project.** Yes ☒ No ☐

**In case of 'No' please justify:**

Please rate on a scale of A to D:

(A – strongly agree, B – agree, C – disagree, D – strongly disagree,  
 NR – not relevant for project assessment, NO – no opinion due to lack of information in the  
 submission form)

**0 The proposed project meets key quality indicators. It...**

<b>1.</b> is complete.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>2.</b> is presented in clear and acceptable language.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <input type="text"/>	

## 1. The proposed project coordinator...

a. has professional expertise and experience in the relevant priority area.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
b. has knowledge of Council of Europe and other European developments in the field.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
c. has experience in international cooperation.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
d. is involved in relevant networks.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
e. has experience in project management.	<input type="text" value="NO"/>
f. indicates C1 in either English or French and at least B2 in other working language of the project.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <div>The coordinator has been part of developing the CEFR material that this project would aim to implement.</div>	<b>Summary rating:</b> <input type="text" value="B"/>

## 2. Evaluation of the proposed project

### RELEVANCE: The proposed project ...

a. makes valuable contributions to the field of language education.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
b. addresses one or more national priorities in language education as outlined in the Call for proposals.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <div>The project addresses one of the main additions to the CEFR Companion Volume, helping its implementation in very practical terms.</div>	<b>Summary rating:</b> <input type="text" value="A"/>

### ADDED VALUE: The proposed project ...

c. builds on relevant resources, including those of the Council of Europe.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
d. bridges theory and practice.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
e. proposes innovative, user-friendly outputs for specific target groups.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
f. offers outputs adaptable to different contexts.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <div>It would be helpful to be more specific about the target level of the proposed approaches to mediation - secondary, primary?</div>	<b>Summary rating:</b> <input type="text" value="A"/>

### PROJECT DESIGN: The proposed project ...

<b>g.</b> is feasible.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>h.</b> has clearly stated objectives and target groups.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
<b>i.</b> has a clear starting point.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>j.</b> has clearly defined project phases which make effective use of the possible formats of project activities funded by the ECML.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>k.</b> the envisaged length of the project is reasonable and justified.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <div>Two years might be unnecessarily short for the project.</div>	<b>Summary rating:</b> <input type="text" value="A"/>

### STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT: The proposed project ...

<b>l.</b> has feasible ideas for how to engage the target audience.	<input type="text" value="A"/>
<b>m.</b> has a realistic plan for mobilising national and international networks, associations and other relevant parties.	<input type="text" value="B"/>
<b>Comments (optional):</b> <div>In addition to activities in C4, the webinars mentioned in B1 will also serve to engage the target audience.</div>	<b>Summary rating:</b> <input type="text" value="B"/>

## 3. Conclusion

**Summary of the evaluation** (please cross A, B, C or D):

☒ **A**

This project proposal is of high quality and fully meets the evaluation criteria.

Comments:

It would be valuable to have an ECML project devoted to the topic of mediation that has now been added to the CEFR. The project clearly addresses national priorities identified and responds to a very clear need of supporting the implementation of the CEFR Companion Volume.

Recommended changes (if applicable):

Consider extending the project activities to three years, to allow for more time, especially for the webinars and other dissemination activities after the materials have been developed.

☐ **A/B**

This project is of high quality and meets most of the evaluation criteria.

Comments:

Recommended changes (if applicable):

☐ **B**

This project proposal has many good features and meets most of the evaluation criteria.

Comments:

Recommended changes (if applicable):

☐ **C**

This project proposal has good features, but in a number of respects it does not meet the evaluation criteria and it would need substantial revision for example, in one or more of the following areas (please tick):

- |                          |                                     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Key quality aspects of the proposal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Relevance                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Added value                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project design                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Stakeholder engagement              |

Comments:

☐ **D**

The project does not correspond sufficiently to the evaluation criteria and/ or does not lend itself to an ECML project.

Comments: